



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,934	04/12/2001	Suhail S. Saquib	8448/RMD	3221

7590

04/09/2003

Polaroid Corporation
Patent Department
784 Memorial Drive
Cambridge, MA 02139

EXAMINER

AMINI, JAVID A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2672

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003

6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/833,934

Applicant(s)

SAQUIB ET AL.

Examiner

Javid A Amini

Art Unit

2672

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 6 and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ercan et al. and further in view of Heller et al.

1. Claim 1.

“A method for electronically capturing and processing image information comprising the steps of (a) providing a two-dimensional array of discrete image sensing elements, each discrete element capable of providing an electronic information signal in response to incident illumination, said electronic information signal corresponding to the intensity of said incident illumination, each discrete element being specifically responsive to one of at least three predetermined colors; (b) obtaining first color image data by exposing the two-dimensional array to image-information bearing illumination such that each discrete element provides said electronic information signal, said first color image data comprising the collection of said electronic information signals; (c) recovering missing color information along a first dimension by (i) interpolating the first color image data along said first dimension to provide first interpolated color data, (ii) forming a first difference channel between said first color image data and said first-interpolated color data, (iii) applying a first one-dimensional non-linear filter to said first difference channel, whereby the first-recovered image data is obtained as a combination of the first color image data and the

Art Unit: 2672

filtered first difference channel, and iv) forming second color data comprising the first color data and the first recovered color data; and (d) recovering missing color information along a second dimension by (i) interpolating the second color image data along said second dimension to provide second interpolated color data, (ii) forming a second difference channel between said second color image data and said second interpolated color data, (iii) applying a second one-dimensional non-linear filter to said second difference channel, whereby the second-recovered color data is obtained as a combination of the second color data and the filtered second difference channel, and iv) forming final recovered image data comprising the second color data and the second recovered color data”, the steps of (a) and (b) are obvious because Ercan et al. invention is digital image processing. But Ercan does not explicitly specify the recovering missing information, however Heller et al. teaches in (col. 9, lines 1-4), in step 84, compensation pixel values are generated to replace the missing data from the defective pixels in sensor array 12. In one preferred embodiment, the compensation pixel values are generated by examining the pixel values of the pixels surrounding the defective pixels. Thus, the pixel values of each surrounding pixels of a defective pixel are averaged to arrive at a compensation pixel value. In another embodiment, the pixel value of a pixel that precedes the defective pixel is used as the compensation pixel value.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Heller into Ercan in order for interfacing with the programmable non-volatile memory and accessing the defective pixel location information; and a input/output port for communicating with control and post-processing circuitry.

2. Claim 3.

Art Unit: 2672

“The method of claim 2, wherein said first and second one-dimensional non-linear filters are rank-order filters”, Ercan teaches in abstract a related method of processing digital image information involves modifying pixel intensities according to a non-linear algorithm, with the result that one or more regions of the image have their median pixel intensities adjusted to a level which is closer to the median pixel intensity for other parts of the image.

3. Claim 6.

“An electronic imaging apparatus comprising: a two-dimensional array of discrete image sensing elements for generating first color image data, each discrete element capable of providing an electronic information signal in response to incident illumination, said electronic information signal corresponding to the intensity of said incident illumination, each discrete element being specifically responsive to one of at least three predetermined colors; a first color recovery module for generating a second color image data from said first color image data, the first color recovery module having first means for interpolating said first color data along a first dimension to provide first-interpolated color data, first means for non-linear filtering and combining said first-interpolated color data with said first color image data in said first dimension to provide first recovered color data, and forming second color data comprising said first color data and said first-recovered data; and a second color recovery for generating a final color-recovered image data from said second color image data, the second color recovery module having second means for interpolating said second color data along a second dimension to provide second interpolated color data, second means for non-linear filtering and combining said second, interpolated color data with said second color image data in said second dimension to provide a second-recovered color data, and forming a final recovered image, comprising said second color data and said

Art Unit: 2672

second-recovered data”, the steps of a two-dimensional array of discrete image and a first color recovery are obvious because Ercan et al. invention is digital image processing. The step of recovering missing color information is obvious because recovering missing color information should be taking along both dimensions, Ercan et al. Illustrates in Fig. 1, a typical hardware layout for apparatus, which uses the inventive method. A light image is detected by a charge-coupled device (CCD) 1, which is an element of a type, which is used in video cameras. The detected image is split into red, green and blue (RGB) analog streams, which are passed to analog to digital converters (A/D converters) 2. The digital signals so produced are passed to a first digital signal processor (DSP) stage 3, which in the example illustrated filters the 16-bit RGB digital signals into 8-bit RGB signals using user-selectable criteria. The signals are then passed to a second DSP stage 4, in which RGB signals are converted to a more compact YUV format. The YUV signals are then passed to a third DSP stage 5, in which a data compression algorithm is applied. It will be appreciated that this configuration is illustrative only, and many different configurations can be applied to achieve the objects of the invention.

4. Claim 8.

“The electronic imaging apparatus of claim 7, wherein said first and second means for non-linear filtering both include rank-order filters”, Ercan teaches in abstract a related method of processing digital image information involves modifying pixel intensities according to a non-linear algorithm, with the result that one or more regions of the image have their median pixel intensities adjusted to a level which is closer to the median pixel intensity for other parts of the image.

Art Unit: 2672

5. Claims 2 and 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ercan, and further in view of Katayama.

6. Claim 2.

“The method of claim 1, wherein the discrete elements are pattern-wise arranged such that (a) no two discrete elements that are contiguous along said first or second dimension are specifically responsive to the same one of said at least three predetermined colors, and (b) no more than one discrete element is contiguously between two discrete elements that are specifically responsive to the same one of said at least three predetermined colors”, Ercan does not specify pattern-wise arranged, however, Katayama et al. teaches in paragraph 0021 to provide an image sensing apparatus which determines whether the optimum image sensing conditions are achieved or not by judging whether there is a predetermined pattern in an image sensing field. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Katayama into Ercan in order to used a background out of a plurality of pads with markers, thus it is possible to set the background which is most suitable to the shape and size, of an object whose shape is to be recognized. As a result, the image sensing parameters, which are most suitable to the object, can be determined. Therefore, the precision of the three-dimensional shape recognition on the basis of images obtained by using the optimum image sensing parameters can be improved.

7. Claim 7.

“The electronic imaging apparatus of claim 6, wherein the discrete elements are pattern-wise arranged such that (a) no two discrete elements that are contiguous along said first or second dimension are specifically responsive to the same one of said at least three predetermined colors,

Art Unit: 2672

and (b) no more than one discrete element is contiguously between two discrete elements that are specifically responsive to the same one of said at least three predetermined colors”, Ercan does not specify pattern-wise arranged, however, Katayama et al. teaches in paragraph 0021 to provide an image sensing apparatus which determines whether the optimum image sensing conditions are achieved or not by judging whether there is a predetermined pattern in an image sensing field. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Katayama into Ercan in order to used a background out of a plurality of pads with markers, thus it is possible to set the background which is most suitable to the shape and size, of an object whose shape is to be recognized. As a result, the image sensing parameters, which are most suitable to the object, can be determined. Therefore, the precision of the three-dimensional shape recognition on the basis of images obtained by using the optimum image sensing parameters can be improved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. Claims 3-5 and 8-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claims 3 and 8, the applicant should specify the specifications and parameters for rank-order filters, and also in claims 4-5 and 9-10 applicant should have the complete specifications of red, green and blue wavelengths.

Art Unit: 2672

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javid A Amini whose telephone number is 703-605-4248. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on 703-305-4713. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-8705 for regular communications and 703-746-8705 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Javid A Amini
Examiner
Art Unit 2672

Javid Amini
March 31, 2003



MICHAEL RAZAVI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

JB